1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
8		OMA I
9	BRETT P. RYAN, Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 14-cv-05300 JRC
10	v.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES PURSUANT
11	CAROLYN W COLVIN, Acting	TO 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)
12	Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and Local	
16	Magistrate Judge Rule MJR 13 (see also Notice of Initial Assignment to a U.S. Magistrate Judge	
17	and Consent Form, Dkt. 5; Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge, Dkt. 6).	
18	This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §	
19	406(b) (see Dkt. 25). Defendant has no objection t	o plaintiff's request (see Dkt. 27).
20	The Court may allow a reasonable fee for an attorney who represented a Social Security	
21	Title II claimant before the Court and obtained a favorable judgment, as long as such fee is not in	
22	excess of 25 percent of the total of past-due benefits. See 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1); Grisbrecht v.	
23	Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789 (2002). When a contingency agreement applies, the Court will look first	
24	to such agreement and will conduct an independen	at review to assure the reasonableness of the

1	fee requested, taking into consideration the character of the representation and results achieved.	
2	See Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 807, 808 (footnote omitted) (citations omitted). Although the	
3	fee agreement is the primary means for determining the fee, the Court will adjust the fee	
4	downward if substandard representation was provided, if the attorney caused excessive delay, or	
5	if a windfall would result from the requested fee. See Crawford v. Astrue, 586 F.3d 1142, 1151	
6	(9th Cir. 2009) (citing Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 808).	
7	Here, the representation was standard, at least, and the results achieved excellent (see	
8	Dkt. 25, Attachments 1, 2). See Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 808. There has not been excessive	
9	delay and no windfall will result from the requested fee.	
10	The Social Security Administration has withheld 25% of the past due benefits awarded	
11	and is holding \$18,233.98 for the payment of attorney fees (see Dkt. 25, Attachment 2, p. 5).	
12	Plaintiff has moved for a net attorney's fee of \$10,889.49, which is the \$18,233.98 currently held	
13	by the agency less the \$7,344.49 EAJA attorney fee award (see Motion, Dkt. 25; Order	
14	Awarding EAJA Fees, Dkt. 24). See 31 U.S.C. §§ 3711(a), 3716(a); Astrue v. Ratliff, 130 S.Ct.	
15	2521, 2524, 2010 U.S. LEXIS 4763 at ***6-***7 (2010); see also Parish v. Comm'r. Soc. Sec.	
16	Admin., 698 F.3d 1215, 1221 (9th Cir. 2012).	
17	Based on plaintiff's motion and supporting documents (see Dkt. 25, 25-1, 25-2), and with	
18	no objection from defendant (Dkt. 27), it is hereby ORDERED that attorney's fees in the amount	
19	of \$10,889.49 be awarded to plaintiff's attorney pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b).	
20	Dated this 9th day of October, 2015.	
21	Illand in the	
22	J. Richard Creatura	
23	United States Magistrate Judge	
24		